UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,438	02/11/2004	Dong Wei	200314313-2	4801
22879 7590 08/19/2008 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD			EXAMINER	
			YANCHUS III, PAUL B	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400		INISTRATION	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2116	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/19/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/777,438	WEI, DONG			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	PAUL B. YANCHUS III	2116			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 July This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •			
	animer. Note the attached Office	7.00.011 01 101111 1 O 102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/1/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

DETAILED ACTION

On June, 12, 2008, Applicant filed a First Action Interview Request. The First Action Interview Pilot program is only available to applications which are classified in class 709. This application is classified in 713 and is therefore not eligible for the First Action Interview Pilot program.

Claim Objections

Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: The term "said addition" in line 2 of claim 3 lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cutler et al., US Patent Application Publication no. 2004/0243534 [Cutler], in view of Hewlett-Packard, ACPI System Locality Information Table Interface Version 0.9 [Hewlett-Packard¹.

Regarding claim 1, Cutler discloses a method for providing updated ACPI table information during runtime comprising:

collecting system information at boot time to be provided to an operating system [paragraphs 0048, 0125 and 0129];

notifying the operating system that a triggering event has occurred, wherein said triggering event potentially alters said system information [paragraph 0134]; and providing updated system information during runtime to said operating system upon a request from said operating system [paragraphs 0134, 0135 and 0138].

Cutler discloses building ACPI information tables at boot time and updating those tables in response to events during OS runtime. Cutler does not disclose that the ACPI information tables hold system locality information. Hewlett-Packard discloses an interface to an ACPI table that stores system locality information [pages 3-4]. Hewlett-Packard also discloses that the ACPI system locality information table may be updated during runtime [page 4]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the Cutler ACPI table updating teachings to ACPI system locality information tables in order to enable an operating system to enhance its ability to process locality information to increase its performance on a non-uniform memory access (NUMA) system [Hewlett-Packard, page 3].

Regarding claim 2, Hewlett-Packard discloses creating a system locality information table [pages 3-4].

Regarding claim 3, Cutler discloses that an addition of a device to a system triggers the request for update [paragraphs 0134, 0135 and 0138].

¹ Cited as "C" in IDS filed on 3/1/05.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Hewlett-Packard discloses that the update of the table is provided to the operating system upon invocation of an ACPI object [page 4].

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Cutler discloses that an addition of a device to a system triggers the request for update [paragraphs 0134, 0135 and 0138].

Regarding claims 8 and 9, Cutler discloses that the removal of a device to a system triggers the request for update [paragraph 0048].

Regarding claims 10 and 11, Cutler discloses that the reconfiguration of the system triggers the request for update [paragraph 0048].

Regarding claims 12 and 13, Hewlett-Packard discloses that the update of the table is provided to the operating system upon invocation of an ACPI object [page 4].

Regarding claim 14, Cutler discloses creating a new table [0138].

Regarding claims 15-17, Cutler discloses adding or subtracting entries from an existing table during an update [paragraphs 0059 and 0130].

Regarding claim 18, Cutler discloses a computer program embodied on a computer readable medium for providing dynamically updated system information, the computer program causing a computer to perform the steps of:

creating an ACPI system information table, said system information table being populated with boot time system information [paragraphs 0048, 0125 and 0129]; and updating said system information table upon receipt of a notification that a triggering event has occurred [paragraphs 0134, 0135 and 0138].

Cutler discloses building ACPI information tables at boot time and updating those tables in response to events during OS runtime. Cutler does not disclose that the ACPI

Art Unit: 2116

information tables hold system locality information. Hewlett-Packard discloses an interface to an ACPI table that stores system locality information [pages 3-4]. Hewlett-Packard also discloses that the ACPI system locality information table may be updated during runtime [page 4]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the Cutler ACPI table updating teachings to ACPI system locality information tables in order to enable an operating system to enhance its ability to process locality information to increase its performance on a non-uniform memory access (NUMA) system [Hewlett-Packard, page 3].

Regarding claim 19, Cutler further discloses that the computer program causes the computer to invoke a bus check notification upon an online addition of a device, wherein said bus check notification indicates to said operating system that a reenumeration of a device tree needs to be performed, and wherein said operating system invokes a _SLI procedure that returns updated system locality information resulting from said online addition [paragraphs 0134, 0135 and 0138]; invoke an Eject Request notification upon an online deletion of a device, wherein said Eject Request notification indicates to said operating system that a re-enumeration of a device tree needs to be performed, and wherein said operating system invokes a _SLI procedure that returns updated system locality information resulting from said online deletion [paragraphs 0048, 0134, 0135 and 0138]; and invoke an SLI Update notification upon an online reconfiguration of said integrated processing system, wherein said SLI Update notification indicates to said operating system that a re-enumeration of a device tree needs to be performed, and wherein said operating system invokes a SLI procedure

associated with a device sending said SLI Update notification that returns updated system locality information resulting from said online reconfiguration [paragraphs 0048, 0134, 0135 and 0138].

Regarding claim 20, Cutler discloses an apparatus for updating ACPI system information comprising:

a system information table creator for creating a system information table coupled to an operating system, said system information table being populated with boot time system information [paragraphs 0048, 0125 and 0129];

a triggering event detector coupled to said operating system, said triggering event detector capable of detecting an occurrence of a triggering event [paragraph 0134]; and

a system information table updater coupled to said operating system and further coupled to said triggering event detector, wherein, upon a receipt of a notification of an occurrence of a triggering event from said triggering event detector, said system information table updater provides updated system information to said operating system [paragraphs 0134, 0135 and 0138].

Cutler discloses building ACPI information tables at boot time and updating those tables in response to events during OS runtime. Cutler does not disclose that the ACPI information tables hold system locality information. Hewlett-Packard discloses an interface to an ACPI table that stores system locality information [pages 3-4]. Hewlett-Packard also discloses that the ACPI system locality information table may be updated during runtime [page 4]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to

apply the Cutler ACPI table updating teachings to ACPI system locality information tables in order to enable an operating system to enhance its ability to process locality information to increase its performance on a non-uniform memory access (NUMA) system [Hewlett-Packard, page 3].

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Boivie et al., US Patent Application Publication no. 2003/0235157 discloses gathering a network system topology and updating the topology when system conditions change.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL B. YANCHUS III whose telephone number is (571)272-3678. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on (571) 272-3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,438 Page 8

Art Unit: 2116

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paul Yanchus August 13, 2008

/Tse Chen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2116